arXiv:1703.09121v1 [math.AC] 27 Mar 2017

INFINITELY GENERATED SYMBOLIC REES ALGEBRAS OVER FINITE FIELDS

AKIYOSHI SANNAI AND HIROMU TANAKA

Abstract. For the polynomial ring over an arbitrary field with twelve variables, there exists a prime ideal whose symbolic Rees algebra is not finitely generated.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Sketch of the proof 2
1.2. Related topics 2
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. Notation 3
2.2. Cox rings 4
2.3. Symbolic Rees algebras 5
3. The main theorem 10
3.1. Construction in a general setting 10
3.2. Proof of the main theorem 12
References 14

1. Introduction

Let A be a polynomial ring over a field k with finitely many valuables. For a field L satisfying $k \subset L \subset \mathrm{Frac}\,(A)$, Hilbert's fourteenth problem asks whether or not the ring $L \cap A$ is finitely generated over k. In 1958, Nagata found the first counterexample to this problem over arbitrary sufficiently large fields [Nag58]. For more examples, we refer to [Rob90], [Kur05] and [Tot08]. On the other hand, this problem is related to the following question raised by Cowsik [Cow85].

Question 1.1. Let A be a polynomial ring over a field with finitely many valuables and let P be a prime ideal of A. Set $P^{(m)} := P^m A_P \cap A$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A30, 14E30.

Key words and phrases. symbolic Rees algebras, Mori dream spaces, Cowsik's question.

Then is the symbolic Rees algebra $R_S(P) := \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} P^{(m)}$ a finitely generated k-algebra?

Indeed, Roberts settled Question 1.1 negatively [Rob85], using Nagata's counterexample mentioned above. Robarts's construction is valid only over sufficiently large fields of characteristic zero, although Nagata's example is independent of the characteristic of the base field. This is because Roberts's proof requires a theorem of Bertini type that fails in positive characteristic (cf. [Rob85, line 7 in page 591]). On the other hand, it is known for experts that Roberts's method works, after suitable modifications, also for the case where k is not algebraic over a finite field. Roughly speaking, counterexamples over such fields can be found after replacing the theorem of Bertini type and Nagata's counterexample used in [Rob85] by [DH91, Theorem 2.1] and the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^2$ along general nine points, respectively. In this sense, Question 1.1 is still open if k is algebraic over a finite field.

The purpose of this paper is to give the negative answer to Question 1.1 over an arbitrary base field. More specifically, the main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 1.2 (cf. Theorem 3.6)**.** *Let k be a field. Let A be the polynomial ring over k with twelve variables. Then there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of A whose symbolic Rees algebra $\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}^{(m)}$ is not a noetherian ring.*

1.1. **Sketch of the proof.** We overview some of the ideas used in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Let us treat the case where $k = \mathbb{F}_p$. Our method is based on a geometric description of symbolic Rees algebras that was pointed out by Cutkosky in a certain special case [Cut91]. We start with a projective smooth surface V over $\mathbb{F}_p$, constructed by Totaro, that has a nef divisor M which is not semi-ample. We embed V into the eleven-dimensional projective space $\mathbb{P}^{11}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ (cf. Lemma 3.4). Thanks to a theorem of Bertini type over finite fields, we can find a smooth curve W on V that is linearly equivalent to $stH|_V - tM$ for a hyperplane divisor H of $\mathbb{P}^{11}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ under the assumption that $t \gg s \gg 0$. Take a homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ on $A = \mathbb{F}_p[x_0, \cdots, x_{11}]$ that defines W. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^{11}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ be the blowup along W. Set $D := f^*H$ and let E be the f-exceptional prime divisor on X. Then $\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}^{(m)}$ is not a noetherian ring if and only if the Cox ring of X is not a noetherian ring (cf. Proposition 2.14). In particular it suffices to find a nef divisor on X that is not semi-ample. By choosing s and t carefully, we can find such a divisor (cf. Proposition 3.3(3)). For more details, see Section 3.

1.2. **Related topics.** It is worth mentioning that, concerning Question 1.1, many authors have studied the case where P is the prime ideal

of $k[x, y, z]$ that defines a space monomial curve (t^a, t^b, t^c) in $\mathbb{A}^3_k$. For instance, Goto, Nishida and Watanabe proved that for some triples (a, b, c), the associated symbolic Rees algebras are not finitely generated if k is of characteristic zero [GNW94]. It is remarkable that this result is applied to study the compactified moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ of pointed rational curves. More specifically, it turns out that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ is not a Mori dream space if $n \geq 13$ and the base field is of characteristic zero [Cas09], [GK16].

Since the case of characteristic zero has such an application, it is natural to consider also the case of positive characteristic. However the situation seems to be subtler. Indeed, if the base field is of positive characteristic, then it is known that the analogous rings of the examples given in [GNW94] and [Rob90] are shown to be finitely generated by [Cut91] [GNW94] and [Kur93] [Kur94], respectively. Then Goto and Watanabe made the following conjecture, which still remains to be an open problem.

Conjecture 1.3. Let R be the polynomial ring over a field k with three valuables. Let P be the prime ideal that defines a space monomial curve (t^a, t^b, t^c) in $\mathbb{A}^3_k$. If the characteristic of k is positive, then the symbolic Rees ring $R_S(P) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} P^{(m)}$ is finitely generated.

It is known that Conjecture 1.3 is reduced to the case where $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. On the other hand, Theorem 1.2 indicates that a symbolic Rees algebra is not necessarily finitely generated in a higher dimensional case, even if the base field is $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Thus if the Conjecture 1.3 holds true, then its proof depends on some facts that hold only in a lower dimensional situation.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Professors Kazuhiro Kurano and Shinnosuke Okawa for several useful comments and discussion. The first author would like to thank Professor Shigeru Mukai for his warm encouragement and stimulating discussions. The first author was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid (S) No 25220701 and JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 16K17581. The second author was funded by EPSRC.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Notation.** In this subsection, we summarise notation used in the paper.

We say that X is a *variety* over a field k (or a *k-variety*) if X is an integral scheme which is separated and of finite type over k. We

say that X is a *curve* over k or a *k-curve* (resp. a *surface* over k or a *k-surface*) if X is a variety over k with $\dim X = 1$ (resp. $\dim X = 2$).

Given an invertible sheaf L on a proper scheme X over a field k, consider the natural homomorphism:

$$H^0(X, L) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X \to L. \tag{2.0.1}$$

(1) We say that L is *nef* if $L \cdot C \geq 0$ for any k-curve C on X.
(2) For a k-linear subspace V of $H^0(X, L)$, the *scheme-theoretic base locus* $B(V)$ of V is the closed subscheme of X defined by the image of the composite homomorphism
$$V \otimes_k L^{-1} \hookrightarrow H^0(X, L) \otimes_k L^{-1} \to \mathcal{O}_X,$$
where the latter one is induced by (2.0.1). For the linear system Λ corresponding to V, we set $B(\Lambda) := B(V)$.
(3) We say that L is *globally generated* if (2.0.1) is surjective, i.e. $B(|L|) = \emptyset$.
(4) We say that L is *semi-ample* if there exists a positive integer n such that $L^{\otimes n}$ is globally generated.

For a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D on a normal proper variety X over a field, we say that D is *nef* (resp. *semi-ample*) if there exists a positive integer n such that nD is a Cartier divisor and $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is nef (resp. semi-ample).

2.2. **Cox rings.** In this subsection, we recall definition of Cox rings (Definition 2.2) and a basic property (Lemma 2.4).

Definition 2.1. Let k be a field. Let X be a normal variety over k. For a subsemigroup Γ of the group $\mathrm{WDiv}\,(X)$ of Weil divisors, we set
$$R(X, \Gamma) := \bigoplus_{D \in \Gamma} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)),$$
which is called *the multi-section ring* of Γ.

Definition 2.2. Let k be a field. Let X be a proper normal variety over k whose divisor class group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is a finitely generated free abelian group. Fix a subgroup Γ of the group $\mathrm{WDiv}\,(X)$ of Weil divisors such that the induced group homomorphism $\Gamma \to \mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is bijective. We set
$$\mathrm{Cox}\,(X) := R(X, \Gamma) = \bigoplus_{D \in \Gamma} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)),$$
which is called the *Cox ring* of X.

Remark 2.3. If we take another subgroup Γ' satisfying the same property as Γ, then it is known that $R(X, \Gamma)$ and $R(X, \Gamma')$ are isomorphic as k-algebras (cf. [GOST15, Remark 2.17]).

Lemma 2.4. *Let k be a field. Let X be a projective normal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial variety over k whose divisor class group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is a finitely generated free abelian group. Assume that $\mathrm{Cox}\,(X)$ is a noetherian ring. Then, the following assertions hold.*

(1) *For any finitely generated subsemigroup Γ_1 of $\mathrm{WDiv}\,(X)$, the multi-section ring $R(X, \Gamma_1)$ of Γ_1 is a finitely generated k-algebra.*
(2) *An arbitrary nef Cartier divisor L on X is semi-ample.*

Proof. We show (1). By standard arguments (cf. [GOST15, discussion in Remark 2.17]), we may assume that Γ_1 is a subsemigroup of Γ for some subgroup Γ of $\mathrm{WDiv}\,(X)$ such that the induced group homomorphism $\Gamma \to \mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is an isomorphism. Then $R(X, \Gamma_1)$ can be naturally considered as a k-subalgebra of $R(X, \Gamma)$. For $M := \bigoplus_{D \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_1} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$, consider the composite k-linear map

$$\pi : R(X, \Gamma) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \left(\bigoplus_{D \in \Gamma_1} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \right) \oplus M \to \bigoplus_{D \in \Gamma_1} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)),$$

where the latter map is the first projection.

Let us show that π is an $R(X, \Gamma_1)$-module homomorphism. Since a Cox ring $R(X, \Gamma)$ of X is a finitely generated k-algebra (cf. Definition 2.2), we can find divisors $D_1, \cdots, D_n \in \Gamma$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_i))$ generates $R(X, \Gamma)$ as a k-algebra and $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_i)) \neq 0$ for any $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$. Let Γ' be the subsemigroup of Γ generated by $D_1, \cdots, D_n$. Set $\Gamma'_1 := \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma'$. It is clear that $R(X, \Gamma) \simeq R(X, \Gamma')$ and $R(X, \Gamma_1) \simeq R(X, \Gamma'_1)$. We see that $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \cdot \Gamma'$ is a polyhedral cone of $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ which does not contain any hyperplanes, and that $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \cdot \Gamma'_1$ is a convex subcone of $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \cdot \Gamma'$. Thus, given $f \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \cdot \Gamma'_1$ and $g \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \cdot \Gamma'$ satisfying $fg \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \cdot \Gamma'_1$, it holds that $g \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \cdot \Gamma'_1$. Hence, π is an $R(X, \Gamma_1)$-module homomorphism.

Therefore, $R(X, \Gamma_1)$ is a pure k-subalgebra of $R(X, \Gamma)$ (cf. for definition of pure subrings, see [Has05, the first page]). Since $R(X, \Gamma)$ is a finitely generated k-algebra, it follows from [Has05, Theorem 1] that also $R(X, \Gamma_1)$ is a finitely generated k-algebra. Thus (1) holds.

We show (2). By (1), it holds that $R(X, L)$ is a finitely generated k-algebra. Then we can apply the same argument as the discussion in [Oka16, the beginning of Section 6.2]. Thus (2) holds. □

2.3. **Symbolic Rees algebras.** The purpose of this subsection is to prove Proposition 2.14, which gives a relation between symbolic Rees algebras of polynomial rings and Cox rings of blowups of projective spaces. The materials treated in this subsection might be well-known

for experts, however we give the details of the proofs for the sake of completeness.

Notation 2.5. (i) Let k be a field and let $A := k[x_0, \cdots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring equipped with the standard structure of a graded ring. Let M be the homogenous maximal ideal of A. We have $\mathbb{P}^n_k = \operatorname{Proj} A$.

(ii) Let W be an integral closed subscheme of and let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^n_k$ be the blowup along W. For $D := f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}(1)$ and the exceptional Cartier divisor E that is the inverse image of W, we set

$$R(X; D, -E) := \bigoplus_{d,e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(X, dD - eE).$$

(iii) There exists a homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $A := k[x_0, \cdots, x_n]$ that induces the ideal sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ corresponding to W. The *symbolic Rees algebra* of $\mathfrak{p}$ is defined as $\bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}^{(d)}$, where $\mathfrak{p}^{(d)} := \mathfrak{p}^d A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A$.

(iv) Let $\mathcal{I}_W$ be the ideal sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ corresponding to W.

Definition 2.6. We use Notation 2.5. For a homogenous ideal I of A, we define the *saturation* $\overline{I}$ of I by

$$\overline{I} := \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \{x \in A \mid M^\nu x \subset I\}.$$

Remark 2.7. We use the same notation as in Definition 2.6. By [Har77, Excercise 5.10 in Ch. II], $\overline{I}$ is a homogeneous ideal of A such that both I and $\overline{I}$ define the same closed subscheme on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ and the equation

$$\overline{I} = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathbb{P}^n_k, \mathcal{I}(d))$$

holds, where $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ associated with I.

Definition 2.8. Let R be a noetherian ring and let J be an ideal of R. We define $\widetilde{J}$, called *the Ratliff–Rush ideal associated with* I, by

$$\widetilde{J} := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (J^{n+1} : J^n).$$

The ideal J is said to be *Rattlif–Rush* if $J = \widetilde{J}$. It is well-known that $\widetilde{J}$ is a Ratliff–Rush ideal (cf. [HLS92, Introduction]).

Lemma 2.9. *We use Notation 2.5. Fix a positive integer* e *and let* $\mathfrak{p}^e = \bigcap_{i=0}^{r} \mathfrak{q}_i$ *be a minimal primary decomposition of* $\mathfrak{p}^e$ *such that* $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_0} = \mathfrak{p}$ *(cf.* [AM69, Section 4]*). Then the following hold.*

(1) *The equation* $\mathfrak{p}^{(e)} = \mathfrak{q}_0$ *holds.*
(2) *The equation* $\overline{\mathfrak{p}^e} = \bigcap_{i \in L} \mathfrak{q}_i$ *holds, where*

$$L := \{i \in \{0, \cdots, r\} \mid \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i} \neq M\}.$$

Proof. We show (1). Since $\mathfrak{q}_0$ is a minimal prime ideal of $\mathfrak{p}^e$, it follows from [AM69, Proposition 4.9] that $\mathfrak{p}^e A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{q}_0 A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. In particular we get equations:

$$\mathfrak{p}^{(e)} = \mathfrak{p}^e A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A = \mathfrak{q}_0 A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A.$$

As the inclusion $\mathfrak{q}_0 A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A \supset \mathfrak{q}_0$ is clear, it suffices to show the other one: $\mathfrak{q}_0 A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A \subset \mathfrak{q}_0$. Take $x \in \mathfrak{q}_0 A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A$. Then there is an element $s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p} = A \setminus \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_0}$ such that $sx \in \mathfrak{q}_0$. Since $\mathfrak{q}_0$ is a primary ideal, it holds that $x \in \mathfrak{q}_0$. Thus (1) holds.

We show (2). First, let us prove $\overline{\mathfrak{p}^e} \subset \bigcap_{i \in L} \mathfrak{q}_i$. Take $x \in \overline{\mathfrak{p}^e}$ and $i \in L$. By definition of the saturation $\overline{\mathfrak{p}^e}$ (cf. Definition 2.6), there is $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $M^\nu x \subset \mathfrak{p}^e \subset \mathfrak{q}_i$. As $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i} \neq M$, there is $y \in M \setminus \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$. Hence $y^\nu x \in \mathfrak{q}_i$. Since $\mathfrak{q}_i$ is a primary ideal, it holds that $x \in \mathfrak{q}_i$. Thus the inclusion $\overline{\mathfrak{p}^e} \subset \bigcap_{i \in L} \mathfrak{q}_i$ holds.

Second we prove the remaining inclusion: $\overline{\mathfrak{p}^e} \supset \bigcap_{i \in L} \mathfrak{q}_i$. If $L = \{0, \cdots, r\}$, then there is nothing to show. We may assume that $L \neq \{0, \cdots, r\}$. As the primary decomposition $\mathfrak{p}^e = \bigcap_{i=0}^r \mathfrak{q}_i$ is minimal, there exists a unique index $i_1 \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$ such that $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_{i_1}} = M$ (cf. [AM69, Lemma 4.3]). In particular, $L = \{0, \cdots, r\} \setminus \{i_1\}$. Since A is a noetherian ring, there exists a positive integer ν such that $M^\nu \subset \mathfrak{q}_{i_1}$. It follows from definition of the saturation $\overline{\mathfrak{p}^e}$ (cf. Definition 2.6) that $\bigcap_{i \in L} \mathfrak{q}_i = \bigcap_{i \in \{0, \cdots, r\}, i \neq i_1} \mathfrak{q}_i \subset \overline{\mathfrak{p}^e}$. □

Lemma 2.10. *Let R be a noetherian ring and let I be an ideal of R generated by a regular sequence $a_1, \cdots, a_\mu$ of R. Then the following hold.*

(1) *An (R/I)-algebra homomorphism*

$$(R/I)[X_1, \ldots, X_\mu] \to \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} I^m/I^{m+1}, \quad X_i \mapsto a_i \mod I^2$$

is an isomorphism, where $I^0 := R$.
(2) *If I is a prime ideal of R other than $\{0\}$, then I^e is a Ratliff–Rush ideal for any positive integer e (cf. Definition 2.8).*
(3) *If I is a prime ideal of R, then for any positive integer e, an arbitrary associated prime ideal of I^e is equal to I.*

Proof. The assertion (1) holds by the fact that any regular sequence is quasi-regular ([Mat89, Theorem 16.2(i)]). The assertion (2) follows from (1) and [HLS92, (1.2)].

We show (3). By (1), I^m/I^{m+1} is a free (R/I)-module for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Consider an exact sequence:

$$0 \to I^{m+1} \to I^m \to I^m/I^{m+1} \to 0.$$

We deduce from induction on e that for any $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, an arbitrary associated prime of I^e is equal to I. Thus (3) holds. □

Lemma 2.11. *We use Notation 2.5. Assume that W is a local complete intersection scheme. Fix a positive integer e. Then the equation $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-eE) = \mathcal{J}^e$ holds as subsheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}$.*

Proof. Fix a point $z \in \mathbb{P}^n_k$ and set $R := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k, z}$. Given a positive integer e, let

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \Gamma(\operatorname{Spec} R, \mathcal{J}|_{\operatorname{Spec} R}) \\ R(I^e) &:= \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} I^{ed} \\ g_e : Y_e = \operatorname{Proj} R(I^e) &\to \operatorname{Spec} R, \end{aligned}$$

where $I^0 := R$ and g_e is the blowup along I^e. We set $Y := Y_1$ and $g := g_1$. Let E_e be the effective Cartier divisor such that $\mathcal{O}_{Y_e}(-E_e) := I^e\mathcal{O}_{Y_e}$. In particular, $E = E_1$. Thanks to [Har77, Exercise 5.13 in Ch II], we have that $\rho_e : Y \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y_e$ and $(\rho_e)_*(eE) = E_e$. We get equations

$$I^e = \widetilde{I^e} = H^0(Y_e, \mathcal{O}_{Y_e}(-E_e)) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-eE)),$$

where the first equation holds by Lemma 2.10(2), the second one follows from [HLS92, Fact 2.1] and the third one is obtained by ρ_e. Hence we are done. □

Lemma 2.12. *We use Notation 2.5. Assume that W is locally complete intersection. Then $R(X; D, -E)$ and $\bigoplus_{e=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}^{(e)}$ are isomorphic as k-algebras.*

Proof. Fix a non-negative integer e. We show that $\bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, dD - eE)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{p}^{(e)}$. By Lemma 2.11, we have $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-eE) \simeq \mathcal{J}^e$. By the projection formula, we get

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X(dD - eE) \simeq \mathcal{J}^e \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}(d) = \mathcal{J}^e(d).$$

Thanks to Remark 2.7, we obtain an isomorphism:

$$\overline{\mathfrak{p}^e} \simeq \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, dD - eE).$$

Claim 2.13. *Any associated prime ideal of $\mathfrak{p}^e$ is equal to either $\mathfrak{p}$ or M.*

Proof of Claim 2.13. Assume that there exists an associated prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of $\mathfrak{p}^e$ other than $\mathfrak{p}$ or M. Let us derive a contradiction. Since $\mathfrak{q} \neq M = (x_0, \cdots, x_n)$, there is x_ℓ that is not contained in $\mathfrak{q}$. Then $\mathfrak{q}A_{x_\ell}$ is an associated prime ideal of $\mathfrak{p}^e A_{x_\ell}$. Take a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of A_{x_ℓ} containing $\mathfrak{q}A_{x_\ell}$. Then $\mathfrak{q}A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is an associated prime ideal of $\mathfrak{p}^e A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ other than $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Since $\operatorname{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{p}) \setminus \{M\}$ is a local complete intersection scheme, we have that $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a prime ideal generated by a regular sequence, which contradicts Lemma 2.10(3). This completes the proof of Claim 2.13. □

For a minimal primary decomposition $\overline{\mathfrak{p}^e} = \bigcap_{i=0}^r \mathfrak{q}_i$ satisfying $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_0} = \mathfrak{p}$, we have that

$$\mathfrak{p}^{(e)} = \mathfrak{q}_0 = \overline{\mathfrak{p}^e} \simeq \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, dD - eE),$$

where the first equation holds by Lemma 2.9(1) and the second equation follows from Lemma 2.9(2) and Claim 2.13. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.12 □

Proposition 2.14. *We use Notation 2.5. Assume that W is regular. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) *$R(X; D, -E)$ is a noetherian ring.*
(2) *$\bigoplus_{e=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}^{(e)}$ is a noetherian ring.*
(3) *The Cox ring $\operatorname{Cox}(X)$ of X is a noetherian ring.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.12 that (1) is equivalent to (2). Thanks to Lemma 2.4(1), we have that (3) implies (1). Thus it suffices to show that (1) implies (3). Since it holds that $H^0(X, dD-eE) = 0$ for $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ and $e \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get an isomorphism:

$$\bigoplus_{d,e\in\mathbb{Z},d\geq 0} H^0(X, dD - eE) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bigoplus_{d,e\in\mathbb{Z}} H^0(X, dD - eE).$$

Thus we have a natural inclusion:

$$R(X; D, -E) = \bigoplus_{d,e\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(X, dD - eE) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{d,e\in\mathbb{Z},d\geq 0} H^0(X, dD - eE).$$

The right hand side is generated by $H^0(X, E)$ as an $R(X; D. - E)$-algebra. Therefore, if $R(X; D, -E)$ is a noetherian ring, then so is $\bigoplus_{d,e\in\mathbb{Z}} H^0(X, dD - eE)$. Hence, also $\operatorname{Cox}(X)$ is a noetherian ring. Thus (1) implies (3). □

3. The main theorem

3.1. **Construction in a general setting.** The purpose of this subsection is to give a sufficient condition under which the blowup of a smooth subvariety in a projective space has a nef Cartier divisor that is not semi-ample (Notation 3.1, Proposition 3.3).

Notation 3.1. We use notation as follows.

(i) Let k be a field. We work over k unless otherwise specified (e.g. a projective scheme means a scheme that is projective over k).
(ii) Let V be a smooth projective variety. Set $d := \dim V$.
(iii) Let M be a nef Cartier divisor on V which is not semi-ample.
(iv) Fix a closed immersion: $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n_k$. Let H be a very ample Cartier divisor such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}(H) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}(1)$. We set H_V to be the pullback of H to V.
(v) Assume that there exists a positive integer r satisfying the following property: if Λ denotes the linear system of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n_k, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}(r))$ consisting of the effective divisors containing V, then the following conditions hold.
  (v-1) The base locus of $|\Lambda|$ is set-theoretically equal to V, i.e. for any point $y \in \mathbb{P}^n_k \setminus V$, there exists a hypersurface S_0 of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ of degree r such that $V \subset S_0$ and $y \notin S_0$.
  (v-2) For any closed point $y \in V$, there exist an open neigbourhood U of $y \in \mathbb{P}^n_k$ and hypersurfaces $S_1, \cdots, S_{n-\dim V}$ of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ of degree r such that V is contained in $S_1 \cap \cdots \cap S_{n-\dim V}$ and that two subschemes $V \cap U$ and $S_1 \cap \cdots \cap S_{n-\dim V} \cap U$ of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ are coincide.
(vi) Assume that there are a smooth prime divisor W on V and positive integers s and t satisfying the following properties.
  (vi-1) $st > r$.
  (vi-2) $W \sim stH_V - tM$.
(vii) Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^n_k$ be the blowup along W. We set $V' := f_*^{-1}V$, $E := \mathrm{Ex}(f)$ and

$$S' := rf^*H - E.$$

Note that E is a smooth prime divisor on X. Let $g : V' \xrightarrow{\simeq} V$ be the induced isomorphism.
(viii) Set

$$L := f^*H + \frac{1}{st-r}S'.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let k be a field and let $Y := \mathbb{A}^n_k = \operatorname{Spec} k[y_1, \cdots, y_n]$ be the n-dimensional affine space. For $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$, set $T_i := V(y_i)$ to*

be the coordinate hyperplane of $Y = \mathbb{A}^n_k$. *Let* q *be a positive integer satisfying* $q \leq n-1$. *Set* $V := T_1 \cap \cdots \cap T_q$ *and* $W := T_1 \cap \cdots \cap T_{q+1}$. *Let* $f : X \to Y$ *be the blowup along* W *and let* V' *and* T'_i *be the proper transforms of* V *and* T_i, *respectively. Then an equation* $V' = T'_1 \cap \cdots \cap T'_q$ *holds.*

Proof. Since blowups are commutative with flat base changes, we may assume that $q = n-1$. In particular, $V = T_1 \cap \cdots \cap T_{n-1}$ is a line and $W = T_1 \cap \cdots \cap T_n$ is the origin. Then it follows from direct computations that the equation $V' = T'_1 \cap \cdots \cap T'_q$ holds. □

Proposition 3.3. *We use Notation 3.1. Then the following hold.*

(1) *The base locus of the complete linear system* $|S'|$ *is contained in* V'.
(2) $L|_{V'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{t}{st-r} g^* M$.
(3) L *is a nef* $\mathbb{Q}$*-divisor which is not semi-ample.*

Proof. We show (1). Take a closed point $x \in X \setminus V'$. We set $y := f(x)$. It suffices to show that the base locus $B(|S'|)$ of $|S'|$ does not contain x. We separately treat the following two cases: $y \notin V$ and $y \in V$.

Assume that $y \notin V$. By Notation 3.1(v-1), there exists a hypersurface S_0 of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ of degree r such that $V \subset S_0$ and $y \notin S_0$. It holds that

$$r f^* H \sim f^* S_0 = S'_0 + aE,$$

where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and S'_0 is the proper transform of S'_0. In particular, we have that

$$B(|S'|) \subset \mathrm{Supp}(S'_0 + E) = f^{-1}(S'_0).$$

It follows from $y \notin S_0$ that $x \notin f^{-1}(S_0)$. Hence, $x \notin B(|S'|)$. This completes the proof for the case where $y \notin V$.

Assume that $y \in V$. We have that $x \in E \setminus V'$. By Notation 3.1(v-2), there exist an open neigbourhood U of $y \in \mathbb{P}^n_k$ and hypersurfaces $S_1, \cdots, S_{n-\dim V}$ of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ of degree r such that V is contained in $S_1 \cap \cdots \cap S_{n-\dim V}$ and that two subschemes $V \cap U$ and $S_1 \cap \cdots \cap S_{n-\dim V} \cap U$ of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ are coincide. In particular, $S_1, \cdots, S_{n-\dim V}$ are smooth at y and form a part of a regular system of parameter of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k, y}$ (cf. [Mat89, Theorem 17.4]). Therefore, thanks to Cohen's structure theorem, the situation is the same, up to taking the formal completions, as in the statement of Lemma 3.2. It follows from Lemma 3.2 and the faithfully flatness of completions (cf. [Mat89, Theorem 7.5(ii)]) that an equation

$$V' \cap f^{-1}(U) = S'_1 \cap \cdots \cap S'_{n-\dim V} \cap f^{-1}(U)$$

holds, where each S'_i denotes the proper transform of S_i. In particular, it holds that $x \notin S'_{i_0}$ for some $i_0 \in \{1, \cdots, n - \dim V\}$. Since S'_{i_0} is

smooth at a point y of W, we have that

$$S' = f^*(rH) - E \sim f^*S_{i_0} - E = S'_{i_0}.$$

Thus, in any case, the base locus $B(|S'|)$ does not contain x. Hence, (1) holds.

The assertion (2) holds by the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} L|_{V'} &= \left(f^*H + \frac{1}{st-r}S'\right)\Big|_{V'} \\ &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*\left(H_V + \frac{1}{st-r}(S|_V - W)\right) \\ &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*\left(H_V + \frac{1}{st-r}(rH_V - (stH_V - tM))\right) \\ &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{t}{st-r}g^*M. \end{aligned}$$

We show (3). Since $L|_{V'}$ is not semi-ample by (2) and Notation 3.1(iii), neither is L. Thus it suffices to show that $L = f^*H + \frac{1}{st-r}S'$ is nef. Take a curve Γ on X. If $\Gamma \not\subset V'$, then we get $(f^*H + \frac{1}{st-r}S') \cdot \Gamma \geq 0$ by (1). If $\Gamma \subset V'$, then (2) implies that $L \cdot \Gamma \geq 0$. In any case, we obtain $L \cdot \Gamma \geq 0$, and hence L is nef. Thus (3) holds. □

3.2. **Proof of the main theorem.** In this subsection, we prove the main theorem of this paper (Theorem 3.6). Theorem 3.6 is a formal consequence of Theorem 3.5 and some results established before. The main part of Theorem 3.5 is to find schemes and divisors satisfying Notation 3.1. To this end, we start with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *The following hold.*

(1) *Let n be an integer such that $n \geq 5$. If k is an algebraically closed field, then there exist a smooth projective surface V over k, a closed immersion $j : V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k$ over k, and a nef Cartier divisor M on V which is not semi-ample.*

(2) *Let n be an integer such that $n \geq 11$. If k is a field, then there exist a smooth projective surface V over k, a closed immersion $j : V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k$ over k, and a nef Cartier divisor M on V which is not semi-ample.*

Proof. We show (1). We may assume that $n = 5$. The existence of j is automatic, since any smooth projective surface over k can be embedded in $\mathbb{P}^5_k$. If k is not algebraic over any finite field, then V can be taken as the direct product of an elliptic curve and a smooth projective curve. If k is the algebraic closure of a finite field, then the assertion follows from [Tot09, Theorem 6.1]. This completes the proof of (1).

We show (2). We may assume that $n = 11$. First we treat the case where k is a perfect field. By (1), we can find a field extension $k \subset k'$ of finite degree, a connected k'-scheme V of dimension two which is smooth and projective over k', a closed immersion $j' : V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5_{k'}$ over k' and a nef Cartier divisor M on V which is not semi-ample. Automatically V is projective over k. Since k is perfect, V is also smooth over k. Thus it suffices to find a closed immersion $j : V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{11}_k$ over k. Since $k \subset k'$ is a finite separable extension, it is a simple extension. Therefore, there is a closed immersion $i : \operatorname{Spec} k' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_k$ over k. We can find a required closed immersion j by using the Segre embedding:

$$j : V \overset{j'}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^5_{k'} = \mathbb{P}^5_k \times_k k' \overset{\mathrm{id}\times i}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^5_k \times_k \mathbb{P}^1_k \overset{\text{Segre}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^{11}_k.$$

This completes the proof of the case where k is a perfect field.

Second we handle the general case. Let k_0 be the prime field contained in k. Since k_0 is perfect, there exist a smooth projective connected k_0-scheme V_0 of dimension two, a closed immersion $j_0 : V_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{11}_{k_0}$ over k_0, and a nef Cartier divisor M_0 on V_0 which is not semi-ample. Then $V_0 \times_{k_0} k$ is a scheme which is smooth and projective over k. Since any ring homomorphism between fields is faithfully flat, we can find a connected component V of $V_0 \times_{k_0} k$ such that $M := M_0|_V$ is not semi-ample. Clearly, V is a smooth projective surface over k and there is a closed immersion $j : V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{11}_k$ over k. This completes the proof of (2). □

Theorem 3.5. *The following hold.*

(1) *Let n be an integer such that $n \geq 5$. If k is an algebraically closed field, then there exist a one-dimensional connected closed subscheme W of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ which is smooth over k and a Cartier divisor N on the blowup X of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ along W such that N is nef but not semi-ample.*

(2) *Let n be an integer such that $n \geq 11$. If k is a field, then there exist a one-dimensional connected closed subscheme W of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ which is smooth over k and a Cartier divisor N on the blowup X of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ along W such that N is nef but not semi-ample.*

Proof. We only show (2), as the proof of (1) is easier. Fix a field k. We will find schemes and divisors satisfying the properties of Notation 3.1. Thanks to Lemma 3.4, there exist a smooth projective connected k-scheme V of dimension two, a closed immersion $j : V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^v_k$ over k, and a nef Cartier divisor M on V which is not semi-ample. Set $d := 2$. Then k, V, M, d, n satisfy properties (i)-(iv) of Notation 3.1.

Since $V = \operatorname{Proj} k[x_0, \cdots, x_n]/(h_1, \cdots, h_a)$, it holds that the linear system Λ appearing in Notation 3.1(v) satisfies the property (v-1) of Notation 3.1 if $r \geq \max_{1 \leq q \leq a} \deg h_q$. As V is a local completion intersection scheme, the quasi-compactness of V implies that also the property (v-2) of Notation 3.1 holds for $r \gg 0$. Therefore, we can find $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfying the property in (v) of Notation 3.1.

We now show that there exist s, t, W satisfying the property (vi) of Notation 3.1. If k is an infinite field, then the Bertini theorem enables us to find a positive integer s such that s and $t := 1$ satisfies the the property (vi) of Notation 3.1. If k is a finite field, then it follows from [Poo04, Theorem 1.1] that there are positive integers $t \gg s \gg 0$ satisfying the the property (vi) of Notation 3.1. In any case, we can find s, t, W satisfying the property (vi) of Notation 3.1.

To summarise, we have found V, W, M, d, n, r, s, t over a field k satisfying the properties (i)-(viii) of Notation 3.1. By construction, V is a smooth projective surface. In particular, W is a smooth projective curve in $\mathbb{P}^{11}_k$. Thanks to Proposition 3.3, the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor

$$L = f^*H + \frac{1}{st - r} S'$$

on X, defined in (viii) of Notation 3.1, is nef but not semi-ample. Therefore, $N := (st - r)L$ is a nef Cartier divisor on X that is not semi-ample. □

Theorem 3.6. *The following hold.*

(1) *Let q be an integer such that $q \geq 6$. If k is an algebraically closed field, then there exists a homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \cdots, x_q]$ with q variables whose symbolic Rees algebra $\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}^{(m)}$ is not a noetherian ring.*

(2) *Let q be an integer such that $q \geq 12$. If k is a field, then there exists a homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \cdots, x_q]$ with q variables whose symbolic Rees algebra $\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}^{(m)}$ is not a noetherian ring.*

Proof. The assertion follows from Lemma 2.4, Proposition 2.14 and Theorem 3.5. □

References

[AM69] M. F. Atiyah, I. G. McDonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra,* Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont. 1969.

[Cas09] A.-M. Castravet, *The Cox ring of $\bar{M}_{0,6}$,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), no. 7, 3851–3878.

[Cow85] R. Cowsik, *Symbolic powers and the number of defining equations,* Algebra and its Applications, Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **91** (1985), 13–14.

[Cut91] S. D. Cutkosky, *Symbolic algebras of monomial primes,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **416**(1991), 71–89.

[DH91] S. Diaz, D. Harbater, *Strong Bertini theorems,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **324** (1991), no. 1, 73–86.

[GOST15] Y. Gongyo, S. Okawa, A. Sannai, S. Takagi, *Characterization of varieties of Fano type via singularities of Cox rings,* J. Algebraic Geom. **24** (2015), no. 1, 159–182.

[GK16] J. L. González and K.Karu, *Some non-finitely generated Cox rings,* Compos. Math. **152** (2016), no. 5, 984–996.

[GNW94] S. Goto, K, Nishida and K.-i. Watanabe, *Non-Cohen-Macaulay symbolic blow-ups for space monomial curves and counterexamples to Cowsiks question,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 383–392.

[Har77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry.*, Grad. Texts in Math., no **52**, Springer-Verlag, NewYork, 1977.

[Has05] M. Hashimoto, *A pure subalgebra of a finitely generated algebra is finitely generated*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 8, 2233–2235.

[HLS92] W. Heinzer, D. Lantz, K. Shah, *The Ratliff-Rush ideals in a Noetherian ring,* Comm. Algebra **20** (1992), no. 2, 591–622.

[Kur93] K. Kurano, *Positive characteristic finite generation of symbolic Rees algebras and Roberts counterexamples to the fourteenth problem of Hilbert,* Tokyo J. Math. **16** (1993), 473–496.

[Kur94] K. Kurano, *On finite generation of Rees rings defined by filtrations of ideals,* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **34** (1994), 73–86.

[Kur05] K. Kuroda, *A counterexample to the fourteenth problem of Hilbert in dimension three,* Michigan Math. J., Vol. **53**, Issue 1 (2005), 123–132.

[Mat89] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory* Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. Second edition. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **8**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[Nag58] M. Nagata, *On the fourteenth problem of Hilbert,* Proc. Internat. Congress Math. (1958), Cambridge Univ. Press, 1960.

[Oka16] S. Okawa, *On images of Mori dream spaces,* Math. Ann., Vol. **364**, Issue 3 (2016), 1315–1342.

[Poo04] B. Poonen, *Bertini theorems over finite fields,* Ann. of Math. (2) **160** (2004), no. 3, 1099–1127.

[Rob85] P. Roberts, *A prime ideal in a polynomial ring whose symbolic blowup is not Noetherian,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.**94** (1985), 589–592.

[Rob90] P. Roberts, *An infinitely generated symbolic blow-ups in a power series ring and a new counterexample to Hilbert's fourteenth problem,* J. Algebra **132** (1990), 461–473.

[Tot08] B. Totaro, *Hilbert's 14th problem over finite fields and a conjecture on the cone of curves,* Compos. Math. **144** (2008), no. 5, 1176–1198.

[Tot09] B. Totaro, *Moving codimension-one subvarieties over finite fields,* Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009), no. 6, 1815–1833.

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.
E-mail address: sannai@kurims.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Imperial College, London, 180 Queen's Gate, London SW7 2AZ, UK
E-mail address: h.tanaka@imperial.ac.uk